

Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

Am. St. Rep. 300; Summerfield v. Western Union Tel. Co., 87 Wis. 1, 57 N. W. 973, 41 Am. St. Rep. 17; Small v. Lonergan, 81 Kan. 48, 105 Pac. 27, 25 L. R. A. (N. S.) 976.

Injury to the plaintiff's reputation and feelings may be alleged, proved and recovered for in damages for the unlawful entering and searching of his house for stolen goods. Anonymous, Minor (Ala.) 52, 12 Am. Dec. 31; Larthet v. Forgay, 2 La. Ann. 524, 46 Am. Dec. 554; Fennemore v. Armstrong, 6 Boyce (Del.) 35, 96 Atl. 204; 35 Cyc. 1277. The unlawful search of a person's home is such a wrongful act as to cause mental suffering and humiliation for which the defendant must respond in actual damages, though such damages are not capable of exact measurement in dollars and cents, and though the act did not affect such person's standing in the community. Krehbiel v. Henkle, 152 Iowa 604, 133 N. W. 115: 24 RULING CASE LAW, 727, 728. So, damages were held recoverable for mental suffering as a consequence of an unlawful search of the dwelling of a widow against her consent in the night, when she was alone in the house, for the avowed purpose of connecting her son with a burglary. Shall v. Minneapolis, etc., R. Co., 156 Wis. 195, 145 N. W. 649, 50 L. R. A. (N. S.) 1151. In Weyer v. Wegner, 58 Tex. 539, an action for unlawful search, it was held that evidence of insulting conduct on the part of the defendant while making the search should be taken into consideration in fixing the damages.

Although it is not a question touched upon in the instant case, it is to be noted that in an action for unlawful search it is no defense to say that the plaintiff was a thief or did in fact have the stolen property upon his premises or person. The doctrine or rule of probable cause has no application, unless in mitigation of exemplary damages. *McClurg* v. *Brenton*, 123 Iowa 368, 98 N. W. 881, 101 Am., St. Rep. 323, 65 L. R. A. 519. Nor does the fact that the accused, in order to prove his innocence, consented to the search, relieve the defendant from liability. *Regan* v. *Harkcy*, 40 Tex. Civ. App. 16, 87 S. W. 1164.

JURY—WOMEN NOT QUALIFIED BY SUFFRAGE AMENDMENT.—Under the State constitution women were entitled to vote. The board of assessors and the commissioner of jurors refused to include in the jury list women citizens of the county. On the ground that the right of jury service is incidental to suffrage, an application was made for an order for a peremptory writ of mandamus directing the board and commissioner to complete the jury list by including the names of qualified women. Held, the writ of mandamus is denied. In re Grilli, 179 N. Y. Supp. 795. For discussion of principles involved, see Notes, p. 589

LANDLORD AND TENANT—SURRENDER OF LEASED PREMISES BY OPERATION OF I.AW.—The plaintiff corporation, lessees of a building for a term of years, entered into an oral agreement with the lessors whereby they, the lessees, should move out while the leased building was being destroyed and a new one erected in its place. On the strength of this agreement the lessors made contracts for the erection of the new building and operations to that end were begun. The plaintiff then sought